



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/975,667	10/11/2001	Manoneet Singh	RAD295	9491
23494 7	7590 08/02/2005		EXAMINER	
	TRUMENTS INCORPO	FAN, CHIEH M		
P O BOX 655474, M/S 3999			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DALLAS, IX	DALLAS, TX 75265		2638	
			2638	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/975,667	SINGH ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
<u> </u>	Chieh M. Fan .	2638				
The MAILING DATE of this communi Period for Reply	cation appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30 - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum states a Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply Any reply received by the Office later than three months at earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repunication. l) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty tutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT will, by statute, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) file	d on <i>04 May 2005</i> .					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims		•				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-44 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) 21-44 is/are 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4 and 11-14 is/are rejecte 7) ☐ Claim(s) 5-10 and 15-20 is/are object 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restrict	e withdrawn from consideration. ed. ted to.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 11 October 20 Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to	001 is/are: a) accepted or b) obstion to the drawing(s) be held in abeyand the correction is required if the drawing(s)	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	documents have been received. documents have been received in Ap of the priority documents have been r nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	· _					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P³) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	TO-948) Paper No(s)	mmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152) -				

Application/Control Number: 09/975,667 Page 2

Art Unit: 2638

filed on 5/4/05.

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Claims 21-44 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR
 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to because the reference 36 in Figure 2 should be associated with "QAM SYMBOL DECODER". Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet

Art Unit: 2638

submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1-3 and 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Jung (U.S. Patent No. 6,483,553).

Regarding claim 1, Jung teaches a multicarrier signal receiver (Fig. 3A, a COFDM receiver) for receiving a serial signal sequence of modulated subcarriers carrying information for input pilot and data symbols, comprising: a subcarrier-to-symbol converter (15 in Fig. 3A) for converting said serial signal sequence into received pilot and data symbols representative of said input pilot and data symbols; and an inverse Fourier transformer for selecting said received pilot symbols from said received pilot and

Application/Control Number: 09/975,667

Art Unit: 2638

data symbols (20 in Fig. 3A) and then inverse Fourier transforming said received pilot symbols into received pilot multicarrier blocks (21 in Fig. 3A).

Regarding claim 2, said modulated subcarriers are an orthogonal frequency division multiplex (OFDM) signal (col. 2, line 22) formed by inverse Fourier transforming (inherent for a OFDM system) said input pilot and data symbols.

Regarding claim 3, the subcarrier-to-symbol converter includes a Fourier transformer for Fourier transforming a representation of said serial signal sequence to said received pilot and data symbols (15 in Fig. 3A).

Regarding claims 11-13, claims 11-13 are corresponding method claims of claims 1-3 and are therefore rejected for the same reason above.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-4 and 11-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Li (U.S. Patent No. 6,654,429).

Regarding claims 1 and 4, Li teaches a multicarrier signal receiver (Figs. 2 and 3, i.e., a OFDM receiver) for receiving a serial signal sequence of modulated subcarriers carrying information for input pilot and data symbols, comprising: a subcarrier-to-symbol

Application/Control Number: 09/975,667

Art Unit: 2638

converter (124 in Fig. 2) for converting said serial signal sequence into received pilot and data symbols representative of said input pilot and data symbols; and an inverse Fourier transformer for selecting said received pilot symbols from said received pilot and data symbols (142 in Fig. 3, col. 5, lines 39-42) and then inverse Fourier transforming said received pilot symbols into received pilot multicarrier blocks (150 in Fig. 3);

a pilot multicarrier generator (146 in Fig. 3) for generating a computed pilot multicarrier block having complex conjugates of system pilot symbols corresponding to said input pilot symbols (142 in Fig. 3, a*[n,k]); and

a correlator for correlating said received pilot symbol with said computed pilot multicarrier block for providing a correlation function (142 in Fig. 3).

The difference between Li and the claimed subject matter lies in that the correlation of Li is performed by the correlator before the inverse fast Fourier transform (IFFT), while the claimed subject matter requires that the IFFT performed before the correlation. However, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to change the order of IFFT and correlation, since it has been held that a mere reversal of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Einstein, 8 USPQ 167*.

Regarding claim 2, said modulated subcarriers are an orthogonal frequency division multiplex (OFDM) signal (col. 3, lines 17-20) formed by inverse Fourier transforming (106 in Fig. 1) said input pilot and data symbols.

Application/Control Number: 09/975,667

Art Unit: 2638

Regarding claim 3, the subcarrier-to-symbol converter includes a Fourier transformer for Fourier transforming a representation of said serial signal sequence to said received pilot and data symbols (124 in Fig. 2).

Regarding claims 11-14, claims 11-14 are corresponding method claims of claims 1-4 and are therefore rejected for the same reason above.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 5-10 and 15-20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. JP 2002-111624 (see Fig. 1) and Keevil et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,359,938, see Fig. 4 for a COFDM system).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chieh M. Fan whose telephone number is (571) 272-3042. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:00AM-5:30PM, Alternate Fridays off.

Application/Control Number: 09/975,667 Page 7

Art Unit: 2638

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kenneth Vanderpuye can be reached on (571) 272-3078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Chieh M Fan
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2638

July 18, 2005